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Abstract. In this Note we consider a cone $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with a vertex at the origin. We assume that the operator

$$
P_{\mu}:=-\Delta-\frac{\mu}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}(x)}
$$

is subcritical in $\Omega$, where $\delta_{\Omega}$ is the distance function to the boundary of $\Omega$ and $\mu \leq 1 / 4$. Under some smoothness assumption of $\Omega$, we show that the following improved Hardytype inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi|^{2}}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \lambda(\mu) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

holds true, and that the above inequality is optimal in some definite sense. The constant $\lambda(\mu)>0$ is given explicitly.

Sunto. In questa nota, consideriamo un cono $\Omega$ nello spazio Euclideo $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, con vertex all'origine. Sia

$$
P_{\mu}:=-\Delta-\frac{\mu}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}(x)}
$$

un operatore sottocritico in $\Omega$, dovè $\delta_{\Omega}$ è la funzione distanza al bordo di $\Omega$, e $\mu \leq 1 / 4$. Sotto qualche ipotesi di regularità su $\Omega$, dimostriamo che la seguente disuguaglianza di Hardy migliorata

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi|^{2}}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \lambda(\mu) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

vale, ed è ottimale in un senso preciso. La costante $\lambda(\mu)>0$ è data esplicitamente.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $P$ be a symmetric and nonnegative second-order linear elliptic operator with real coefficients which is defined on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ or on a noncompact manifold $\Omega$, and let $q$ be the associated quadratic form defined on $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. A Hardy-type inequality with a weight $W \nexists 0$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(\varphi) \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} W(x)|\varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is a constant. Such an inequality aims to quantify the positivity of $P$ : for instance, if (1.1) holds with $W \equiv \mathbf{1}$ it means that the bottom of the spectrum of the Friedrichs extension of $P$ is positive, and that the equation $(P-\lambda) u=0$ admits a positive solution in $\Omega$. A nonnegative operator $P$ is called critical in $\Omega$ if the inequality $P \geq 0$ cannot be improved, meaning that (1.1) holds true if and only if $W \equiv 0$. On the other hand, when (1.1) holds with a nontrivial nonnegative $W$, then $P$ is said to be subcritical in $\Omega$.

Given a subcritical operator $P$ in $\Omega$, there is a huge convex set of weights $W \supsetneqq 0$ satisfying the inequality (1.1); We will call these weights, Hardy-weights. A natural question is to find "large" Hardy-weights. The search for Hardy-type inequalities with "as large as possible" weight function $W$ was proposed by Agmon [1, Page 6].

In a recent paper [6], the authors studied a general (not necessarily symmetric) subcritical second-order linear elliptic operator $P$ in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ (or a noncompact manifold), and constructed a Hardy-weight $W$ which is optimal. In the case of symmetric operator $P$ the main result of [6] reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1 ([6, Theorem 2.2]). Consider a symmetric second-order linear elliptic operator $P$ defined in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $q$ be the associated quadratic form. Assume that $P$ is subcritical in $\Omega$. Fix a reference point $x_{0} \in \Omega$, and denote $\Omega^{\star}:=\Omega \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}$.

There exists a nonzero nonnegative weight $W$ satisfying the following properties:
(a) Denote by $\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{0}\left(P, W, \Omega^{\star}\right)$ the largest constant $\lambda$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(\varphi) \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega^{\star}} W(x)|\varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega^{\star}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\lambda_{0}>0$ and the operator $P-\lambda_{0} W$ is critical in $\Omega^{\star}$; that is, the inequality

$$
q(\varphi) \geq \int_{\Omega^{\star}} V(x)|\varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega^{\star}\right)
$$

is not valid for any $V \supsetneqq \lambda_{0} W$.
(b) The constant $\lambda_{0}$ is also the best constant for (1.2) with test functions supported in $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega$, where $\Omega^{\prime}$ is either the complement of any fixed compact set in $\Omega$ containing $x_{0}$ or any fixed punctured neighborhood of $x_{0}$.
(c) The operator $P-\lambda_{0} W$ is null-critical in $\Omega^{\star}$; that is, the corresponding RayleighRitz variational problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{P}^{1,2}\left(\Omega^{\star}\right)}\left\{\frac{q(\varphi)}{\int_{\Omega^{\star}} W(x)|\varphi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits no minimizer. Here $\mathcal{D}_{P}^{1,2}\left(\Omega^{\star}\right)$ is the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega^{\star}\right)$ with respect to the norm $u \mapsto \sqrt{q(u)}$.
(d) If furthermore $W>0$ in $\Omega^{\star}$, then the spectrum and the essential spectrum of the Friedrichs extension of the operator $W^{-1} P$ on $L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\star}, W \mathrm{~d} x\right)$ are both equal to $\left[\lambda_{0}, \infty\right)$.

Definition 1.1. A weight function that satisfies properties (a)-(d) is called an optimal Hardy weight for the symmetric operator $P$ in $\Omega$.

Denote by $\bar{\infty}$ ideal point in the one-point compactification of $\Omega$. The optimal Hardy weight $W$ in Theorem 1.1 is obtained by applying the so-called supersolution construction: It turns out that there are two positive solutions $u_{i}, i=0,1$ of $P u=0$ in $\Omega^{\star}$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow x_{0} \\ x \in \Omega}} \frac{u_{1}(x)}{u_{0}(x)}=\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow \infty \\ x \in \Omega}} \frac{u_{0}(x)}{u_{1}(x)}=0
$$

the optimal Hardy weight $W$ is then given by

$$
W:=\frac{P u_{1 / 2}}{u_{1 / 2}},
$$

where $u_{1 / 2}:=\left(u_{0} u_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
In [6, Theorem 11.6], the authors extend Theorem 1.1 and get an optimal Hardy-weight $W$ in the entire domain $\Omega$, in the case of boundary singularities, where the two singular
points of the Hardy-weight are located at $\partial \Omega \cup\{\bar{\infty}\}$ and not at $\bar{\infty}$ and at an isolated interior point of $\Omega$ as in Theorem 1.1. Roughly speaking, we assume that the coefficients of $P$ are regular up to the (Martin) boundary of $\Omega$ outside two Martin boundary points $\left\{\zeta_{0}, \zeta_{1}\right\}$, and that the Martin functions $u_{i}$ at $\zeta_{i}, i=0,1$, satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow \zeta_{0} \\ x \in \Omega}} \frac{u_{1}(x)}{u_{0}(x)}=\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow \zeta_{1} \\ x \in \Omega}} \frac{u_{0}(x)}{u_{1}(x)}=0 . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the supersolution construction produces an optimal Hardy weight $W=\frac{P u_{1 / 2}}{u_{1 / 2}}$, where $u_{1 / 2}:=\left(u_{0} u_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}$.

The following example illustrates [6, Theorem 11.6] and motivates our present study.
Example 1.1 ([6, Example 11.1]). Let $P_{0}=-\Delta$, and consider the cone $\Omega$ with vertex at the origin, and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid r(x)>0, \omega(x) \in \Sigma\right\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Sigma$ is a Lipschitz domain in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, and $(r, \omega)$ denotes the spherical coordinates of $x$ (i.e., $r=|x|$, and $\omega=x /|x|$ ).

Let $\theta$ be the principal eigenfunction of the (Dirichlet) Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta_{S}$ on $\Sigma$ with principal eigenvalue $\sigma=\lambda_{0}\left(-\Delta_{S}, \mathbf{1}, \Sigma\right)$ (for the definition of $\lambda_{0}$ see (2.1)), and set

$$
\gamma_{ \pm}:=\frac{2-n \pm \sqrt{(2-n)^{2}+4 \sigma}}{2}
$$

Then the positive harmonic function

$$
u_{ \pm}(r, w):=r^{\gamma_{ \pm}} \theta(\omega)
$$

are the Martin kernels at $\infty$ and 0 [17] (see also [4]).
Using the supersolution construction it follows that the function

$$
u_{1 / 2}:=\left(u_{0} u_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}=r^{(2-n) / 2} \theta(\omega)
$$

is a positive supersolution of the equation $P u=0$ in $\Omega$. The obtained Hardy-weight is given by

$$
W(x):=\frac{P u_{1 / 2}}{u_{1 / 2}}=\frac{(n-2)^{2}+4 \sigma}{4|x|^{2}}
$$

and the corresponding Hardy-type inequality reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \frac{(n-2)^{2}+4 \sigma}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, it follows from [6, Theorem 11.6] that $W$ is an optimal Hardy-weight, and that the spectrum and the essential spectrum of $W^{-1}(-\Delta)$ is $[1, \infty)$. Note that for $\Sigma=\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $n \geq 3$, we obtain the classical Hardy inequality in the punctured space. We also remark that the Hardy-type inequality (1.6) and the global optimality of the constant $\frac{(n-2)^{2}+4 \sigma}{4}$ are not new (cf. $[8,14]$ ), however even the fact that (1.6) cannot be improved was not known before.

Let

$$
\delta(x)=\delta_{\Omega}(x):=\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)
$$

be the distance function to the boundary of a domain $\Omega$.
The aim of this Note is to present an extension of the result in Example 1.1 to the case of the Hardy operator

$$
P_{\mu}:=-\Delta-\frac{\mu}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}(x)} \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

where $\Omega$ is the cone defined by (1.5), and $\mu \leq \mu_{0}:=\lambda_{0}\left(-\Delta, \delta_{\Omega}^{-2}, \Omega\right)$ (for the definition of $\lambda_{0}$ see (2.1)). In particular, we present an explicit expression for the optimal Hardy weight $W$ corresponding to the singular points 0 and $\infty$, for the associate best Hardy constant, and for the corresponding ground state. Note that since the potential $\delta_{\Omega}^{-2}(x)$ is singular on $\partial \Omega$, $\left[6\right.$, Theorem 11.6] is not applicable for $P_{\mu}$ with $\mu \neq 0$, and we had to come up with new techniques and ideas to treat this case. For some recent results concerning sharp Hardy inequalities with boundary singularities see $[5,10,11]$ and references therein.

The outline of the present paper is as follows. In Section 2 we fix the setting and notations, and introduce some basic definitions. In Section 3 we use an approximation argument to obtain two positive multiplicative solutions of the equation $P_{\mu} u=0$ in $\Omega$ of the form $u_{ \pm}(r, w):=r^{\gamma_{ \pm}} \theta(\omega)$, while in Section 4 we use the boundary Harnack principle of A. Ancona [3] and the methods in $[13,17]$ to get an explicit representation theorem for the positive solutions of the equation $P_{\mu} u=0$ in $\Omega$ that vanish (in the potential theory sense) on $\partial \Omega \backslash\{0\}$. Section 5 is devoted to the presentation of our main result. For the
sake of brevity of this Note, we omit all proofs; they appear in an upcoming paper that contains further related results, see [7].

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we fix our setting and notations, and introduce some basic definitions. We denote $\mathbb{R}_{+}:=(0, \infty)$, and

$$
\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x_{1}>0\right\}
$$

Throughout the paper $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a domain, where $n \geq 2$. The distance function to the boundary of $\Omega$ is denoted by $\delta_{\Omega}$. We write $\Omega_{1} \Subset \Omega$ if $\Omega$ is open, $\bar{\Omega}_{1}$ is compact and $\bar{\Omega}_{1} \subset \Omega$.

Let $f, g: \Omega \rightarrow[0, \infty)$. We denote $f \asymp g$ if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that $C^{-1} g \leq f \leq C g$ in $\Omega$. Also, we write $f \ngtr 0$ in $\Omega$ if $f \geq 0$ in $\Omega$ but $f \neq 0$ in $\Omega$. We denote by 1 the constant function taking the value 1 in $\Omega$.

In the present paper we consider a second-order linear elliptic operator $P$ defined on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $W \supsetneqq 0$ be a given function. It is assumed throughout the paper that the operator $P$ is symmetric and locally uniformly elliptic. Moreover, we assume that the coefficients of $P$ and the function $W$ are locally sufficiently regular in $\Omega$ (see [6]). For such an operator $P$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote $P_{\lambda}:=P-\lambda W$.

Definition 2.1. The operator $P$ is said to be nonnegative in $\Omega$, and we write $P \geq 0$ in $\Omega$, if the equation $P u=0$ in $\Omega$ admits a positive (super)solution.

By the well-known Allegretto-Piepenbrink theorem for symmetric second-order elliptic operators $P$ (see for example [2]), $P \geq 0$ in $\Omega$ is equivalent to the quadratic form of $P$ being nonnegative on $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Unless otherwise stated, it is assumed that $P \geq 0$ in $\Omega$. For such a nonnegative operator $P$, we have (see [18]):

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $P$ is a nonnegative symmetric operator in $\Omega$.

1. The operator $P$ in subcritical in $\Omega$ if and only if $P$ admits a positive minimal Green function in $\Omega$.
2. The operator $P$ in subcritical in $\Omega$ if and only if $P$ admits a unique (up to a multiplicative constant) positive supersolution of the equation $P u=0$ in $\Omega$.

Definition 2.2. Suppose that $P$ is critical in $\Omega$, then the unique positive (super)solution of the equation $P u=0$ in $\Omega$ is called the (Agmon) ground state of $P$ in $\Omega$.

We emphasize that the notion of subcriticality above is closely related to the improvement of Hardy inequalities. Let $P$ and $W \supsetneqq 0$ be as above, the generalized principal eigenvalue is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0}:=\lambda_{0}(P, W, \Omega):=\sup \left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid P_{\lambda}=P-\lambda W \geq 0 \text { in } \Omega\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also define

$$
\lambda_{\infty}=\lambda_{\infty}(P, W, \Omega):=\sup \left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists K \subset \subset \Omega \text { s.t. } P_{\lambda} \geq 0 \text { in } \Omega \backslash K\right\}
$$

Recall that if the operator $P$ is symmetric in $L^{2}(\Omega, \mathrm{~d} x)$, and $W>0$, then $\lambda_{0}$ (resp. $\lambda_{\infty}$ ) is the infimum of the $L^{2}(\Omega, W \mathrm{~d} x)$-spectrum (resp. $L^{2}(\Omega, W \mathrm{~d} x)$-essential spectrum) of the Friedrichs extension of $\tilde{P}:=W^{-1} P$ (see for example [2] and references therein). Note that $\tilde{P}$ is symmetric on $L^{2}(\Omega, W \mathrm{~d} x)$, and has the same quadratic form as $P$.

Throughout the paper we fix a cone

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid r(x)>0, \omega(x) \in \Sigma\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Sigma$ is a Lipschitz domain in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$. For $x \in \Sigma$, we will denote by $\mathrm{d}_{\Sigma}(x)$ the (spherical) distance from $x$ to the boundary of $\Sigma$. Note that $\delta_{\Omega}$ is clearly a homogeneous function of degree 1 , that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\Omega}(x)=|x| \delta_{\Omega}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)=r \delta_{\Omega}(\omega), \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{\Omega}(x)=\sin \left(\mathrm{d}_{\Sigma}(x)\right) \quad \text { near the boundary. } \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For spectral results and Hardy inequalities with homogeneous weights on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ see [12].
Since the distance function to the boundary of any domain is Lipschitz continuous, Euler's homogeneous function theorem implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \cdot \nabla \delta_{\Omega}(x)=\delta_{\Omega}(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, Euler's theorem characterizes all sufficiently smooth positive homogeneous functions. Hence, (2.4) characterizes the cones in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Let $\Delta_{S}$ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unit sphere $S:=\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then in spherical coordinates, the operator

$$
P_{\mu}:=-\Delta-\frac{\mu}{\delta_{\Omega}(x)^{2}}
$$

has the following skew symmetric form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mu} u(r, \omega)=-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r^{2}}-\frac{n-1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(-\Delta_{S} u-\mu \frac{u}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}(\omega)}\right) \quad r>0, \omega \in \Sigma \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out that for any Lipschitz cone the Hardy inequality holds true (as in the case of sufficiently smooth bounded domain [16]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $\Omega$ be a Lipschitz cone, and let $\mu_{0}:=\lambda_{0}\left(-\Delta, \delta_{\Omega}^{-2}, \Omega\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\mu_{0} \leq \frac{1}{4} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the following Hardy inequality holds true.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \mu_{0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi|^{2}}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\mu_{0} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ is the best constant.
Moreover, $\mu_{0}=1 / 4$ if $\Omega$ is convex, and in this case $P_{1 / 4}$ is subcritical.
Remark 2.1. Clearly, $P_{\mu}$ is subcritical in $\Omega$ for all $\mu<\mu_{0}$. We show in Theorem 5.2 that if $\mu_{0}<1 / 4$, then the operator $P_{\mu_{0}}$ is critical in $\Omega$ (cf. [16, Theorem II]).

## 3. Positive multiplicative solutions

As above, let $\Omega$ be a Lipschitz cone. By Lemma 2.1 the generalized principal eigenvalue $\mu_{0}:=\lambda_{0}\left(-\Delta, \delta_{\Omega}^{-2}, \Omega\right)$ satisfies $0<\mu_{0} \leq 1 / 4$. The following theorem shows that for $\mu \leq \mu_{0}$ the equation $P_{\mu} u=0$ in $\Omega$ admits positive multiplicative (separated) solutions.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mu \leq \mu_{0}$. Then the equation $P_{\mu} u=0$ in $\Omega$ admits positive solutions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{ \pm}(x)=|x|^{\gamma_{ \pm}} \phi_{\mu}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{\mu}$ is a positive solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\Delta_{S}-\frac{\mu}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}(\omega)}\right) u=\sigma(\mu) u \quad \text { in } \Sigma \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4} \leq \sigma(\mu):=\lambda_{0}\left(-\Delta_{S}-\frac{\mu}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}}, \mathbf{1}, \Sigma\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{ \pm}:=\frac{2-n \pm \sqrt{(2-n)^{2}+4 \sigma(\mu)}}{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\sigma(\mu)>-\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$, then there are two linearly independent positive solutions of the equation $P_{\mu} u=0$ in $\Omega$ of the form (3.1), and $P_{\mu}$ is subcritical in $\Omega$.

In particular, for any $\mu \leq \mu_{0}$ we have $\sigma(\mu)>-\infty$.

Remark 3.1. Note that for $n=2, \Sigma=\mathbb{S}^{1}$, and $\mu=\mu_{0}=0$, we obtain $\sigma(0)=0, \gamma_{ \pm}=0$, and $P_{0}=-\Delta$ is critical in the cone $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}$.

Remark 3.2. Let $\Sigma$ be a bounded domain in a smooth Riemannian manifold $M$, and let $\mathrm{d}_{\Sigma}$ be the Riemannian distance function to the boundary $\partial \Sigma$. If $\partial \Sigma$ is sufficiently smooth, then the Hardy inequality with respect to the weight $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\Sigma}\right)^{-2}$ holds in $\Sigma$ with a positive constant $C_{H}$ [19]. A sufficient condition for the validity of a such Hardy inequality is that $\Sigma$ is boundary distance regular, and this condition holds true if $\Sigma$ satisfies either the uniform interior cone condition or the uniform exterior ball condition (see the definitions in [19]). For other sufficient conditions for the validity of the Hardy inequality on Riemannian manifolds see for example [15].

Hence, if the cone $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ is smooth enough, then $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is boundary distance regular. So, for such $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ there exists $C>0$ such that $-\Delta_{S}-\frac{C}{\mathrm{~d}_{\Sigma}^{2}} \geq 0$ in $\Sigma$. Note that $\left.\mathrm{d}_{\Sigma}(\omega) \asymp \delta_{\Omega}(\omega)\right|_{\Sigma}$ in $\Sigma$, therefore, $-\Delta_{S}-\frac{C_{1}}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}} \geq 0$ in $\Sigma$ for some $C_{1}>0$.

In the next proposition we study the possible values of the generalized principal eigenvalue of the operator $-\Delta_{S}-\mu \delta_{\Omega}^{-2}$ in $\Sigma$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\sigma(\mu)=\lambda_{0}\left(-\Delta_{S}-\mu \delta_{\Omega}^{-2}, \mathbf{1}, \Sigma\right)$. Then

1. $\sigma(\mu) \geq-\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$ for any $\mu \leq \mu_{0}$, and if $\Sigma \in C^{2}$, and $\mu_{0}<\frac{1}{4}$, then $\sigma\left(\mu_{0}\right)=-\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$.
2. $\sigma(\mu)=-\infty$ for any $\mu>1 / 4$.
3. If $\Sigma \in C^{2}$, then $\sigma(\mu)>-\infty$ for all $\mu<1 / 4$.
4. The structure of $\mathcal{K}_{P_{\mu}}^{0}(\Omega)$

As above, let $\Omega$ be a Lipschitz cone. By Lemma 2.1 the generalized principal eigenvalue $\mu_{0}:=\lambda_{0}\left(-\Delta, \delta_{\Omega}^{-2}, \Omega\right)$ satisfies $0<\mu_{0} \leq 1 / 4$.

Let $x_{1}$ be a fixed reference point in $\Omega$. Denote by $\mathcal{K}_{P_{\mu}}^{0}(\Omega)$ the convex set of all positive solutions $u$ of the equation $P_{\mu} u=0$ in $\Omega$ satisfying the normalization condition $u\left(x_{1}\right)=1$, and the Dirichlet boundary condition $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega \backslash\{0\}$ in the sense of the Martin boundary, that is, any $u \in \mathcal{K}_{P_{\mu}}^{0}(\Omega)$ has minimal growth on $\partial \Omega \backslash\{0\}$. For the definition of minimal growth on a portion $\Gamma$ of $\partial \Omega$, see [17].

If $\mu_{0}<1 / 4$ and $\Sigma \in C^{2}$ outside 0 , then in Theorem 5.2 we will show that the operator $P_{\mu_{0}}$ is critical in $\Omega$, and therefore, the equation $P_{\mu_{0}} u=0$ in $\Omega$ admits (up to a multiplicative constant) a unique positive supersolution. Moreover, by Theorem 3.1, the unique positive solution is a multiplicative solution of the form (3.1).

The following Theorem characterizes the structure of $u \in \mathcal{K}_{P_{\mu}}^{0}(\Omega)$ for any $\mu<\mu_{0}$.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\Omega$ be a Lipschitz cone, and let $\mu<\mu_{0} \leq 1 / 4$. Then $\mathcal{K}_{P_{\mu}}^{0}(\Omega)$ is the convex hull of two linearly independent positive solutions of the equation $P_{\mu} u=0$ in $\Omega$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{ \pm}(x)=|x|^{\gamma_{ \pm}} \phi_{\mu}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{\mu}$ is the unique positive solution of the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(-\Delta_{S}-\frac{\mu}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}(\omega)}\right) u=\sigma(\mu) u \quad \text { in } \Sigma,  \tag{4.2}\\
\sigma(\mu):=\lambda_{0}\left(-\Delta_{S}-\frac{\mu}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}}, \mathbf{1}, \Sigma\right)>-\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4} \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{ \pm}:=\frac{2-n \pm \sqrt{(2-n)^{2}+4 \sigma(\mu)}}{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. The main result

Recall that by Theorem 3.1, if $\mu \leq \mu_{0}$, then

$$
\sigma(\mu):=\lambda_{0}\left(-\Delta-\frac{\mu}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}}, \mathbf{1}, \Sigma\right) \geq-\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}
$$

and there exists a positive solution $\phi_{\mu}$ of the equation

$$
\left(-\Delta_{S}-\frac{\mu}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}}-\sigma(\mu)\right) u=0 \quad \text { in } \Sigma
$$

Proposition 5.1. Let $\Omega$ be a Lipschitz cone. Let $\mu \leq \mu_{0}$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(\mu):=\frac{(2-n)^{2}+4 \sigma(\mu)}{4} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\lambda(\mu) \geq 0$, and the following Hardy inequality holds true in $\Omega$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi|^{2}}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \lambda(\mu) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The fact that $\lambda(\mu) \geq 0$ follows from $\sigma(\mu) \geq-\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}$, which has been proved in Theorem 3.1. Define

$$
\psi(x)=|x|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \phi_{\mu}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)
$$

Then, taking into account that

$$
\left(-\Delta_{S}-\sigma(\mu)-\frac{\mu}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}}\right) \phi_{\mu}=0 \quad \text { in } \Sigma,
$$

and writing $P_{\mu}$ in spherical coordinates (2.5), it is immediate to check that $\psi$ is a positive solution of the equation

$$
\left(P_{\mu}-\frac{\lambda(\mu)}{|x|^{2}}\right) u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

By the Allegretto-Piepenbrink theorem, it follows that the Hardy inequality (5.2) holds true.

Remark 5.1. In the case $\mu<\mu_{0}$, the Hardy inequality (5.2) can be obtained using the supersolution construction of [6]: indeed, by Theorem 4.1, the equation $P_{\mu} u=0$ has two linearly independent, positive solutions in $\Omega$, of the form

$$
u_{ \pm}(x)=|x|^{\gamma_{ \pm}} \phi_{\mu}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)
$$

By the supersolution construction ([6, Lemma 5.1]), the function

$$
\psi:=\sqrt{u_{+} u_{-}}=|x|^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \phi_{\mu}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)
$$

is a positive solution of

$$
\left(P_{\mu}-\frac{\left|\nabla\left(u_{+} / u_{-}\right)\right|^{2}}{4\left(u_{+} / u_{-}\right)^{2}}\right) u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\frac{\left|\nabla\left(u_{+} / u_{-}\right)\right|^{2}}{4\left(u_{+} / u_{-}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\lambda(\mu)}{|x|^{2}}
$$

and by the Allegretto-Piepenbrink theorem, the Hardy inequality (5.2) holds.

We first investigate the optimality of the Hardy inequality (5.2) when $\mu<\mu_{0}$ :

Theorem 5.1. Let $\Omega$ be a Lipschitz cone, and let $\mu<\mu_{0}$. Then $\lambda(\mu)>0$. Furthermore the weight $W:=\frac{\lambda(\mu)}{|x|^{2}}$ is an optimal Hardy weight for the operator $P_{\mu}$ in $\Omega$ in the following sense:
(1) The operator $P_{\mu}-\frac{\lambda(\mu)}{|x|^{2}}$ is critical in $\Omega$, i.e. (5.2) holds true, but the Hardy inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi|^{2}}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \int_{\Omega} V(x)|\varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

does not hold for any $V \nsupseteq W$. In particular,

$$
\lambda_{0}\left(P_{\mu}, \frac{1}{|x|^{2}}, \Omega\right)=\lambda(\mu)
$$

(2) The constant $\lambda(\mu)$ is also the best constant for (5.2) with test functions supported either in $\Omega_{R}$ or in $\Omega \backslash \overline{\Omega_{R}}$, where $\Omega_{R}$ is a fixed truncated cone. In particular,

$$
\lambda_{\infty}\left(P_{\mu}, \frac{1}{|x|^{2}}, \Omega\right)=\lambda(\mu)
$$

(3) The operator $P_{\mu}-\frac{\lambda(\mu)}{|x|^{2}}$ is null-critical at 0 and at infinity in the following sense: For any $R>0$ the (Agmon) ground state of the operator $P_{\mu}-\frac{\lambda(\mu)}{|x|^{2}}$ given by

$$
v(x):=|x|^{(2-n) / 2} \phi_{\mu}\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)
$$

satisfies

$$
\int_{\Omega_{R}}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}-\mu \frac{|v|^{2}}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{R}}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}-\mu \frac{|v|^{2}}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\infty .
$$

In particular, the variational problem

$$
\inf _{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{P_{\mu}, 2}^{1,2}(\Omega)}\left\{\frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi|^{2}}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\varphi|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x}\right\}
$$

does not admit a minimizer.
(4) The spectrum and the essential spectrum of the Friedrichs extension of the operator $W^{-1} P_{\mu}=\lambda(\mu)^{-1}|x|^{2} P_{\mu}$ on $L^{2}(\Omega, W \mathrm{~d} x)$ are both equal to $[1, \infty)$.

Remark 5.2. As we have noticed in Remark 5.1, if $\mu<\mu_{0}$, then the Hardy inequality (5.2) can be obtained by applying the supersolution construction from [6]. Thus, Theorem 5.1 extends Theorem 1.1 to the particular singular case, where $\Omega$ is a cone and $P_{\mu}$ is the Hardy operator (which is singular on $\partial \Omega$ ).

We now turn to the case $\mu=\mu_{0}$, for which we need to assume more regularity on $\Omega$ :

Theorem 5.2. Let $\Omega$ be a cone such that $\Sigma \in C^{2}$. Then

1. If $\mu_{0}<\frac{1}{4}$, then $\lambda\left(\mu_{0}\right)=0$, and the operator $P_{\mu_{0}}$ is critical in $\Omega$, and null-critical around 0 and $\infty$. In particular, the Hardy inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \mu_{0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi^{2}}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

cannot be improved.
2. If $\mu_{0}=\frac{1}{4}$ and $\lambda\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=0$, then the operator $P_{1 / 4}$ is critical in $\Omega$, and null-critical around 0 and $\infty$. In particular, the Hardy inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi^{2}}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

cannot be improved.
3. If $\mu_{0}=\frac{1}{4}$ and $\lambda\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)>0$, then the weight $W:=\frac{\lambda\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{|x|^{2}}$ is optimal in the sense of Theorem 5.1. In particular, the Hardy inequality (5.2) cannot be improved.

Remark 5.1. If $\Omega$ is a convex cone such that $\Sigma \in C^{2}$, then we have $\lambda\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)>0$.

In the particular case of the half-space we can compute the constants appearing in Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.

Example 5.1 (see [6, Example 11.9] and [9]). Let $\Omega=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, and $\mu \leq 1 / 4$, and consider the subcritical operator $P_{\mu}:=-\Delta-\frac{\mu}{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}}$ in $\Omega$. Let $\alpha_{+}$be the largest root of the equation $\alpha(1-\alpha)=\mu$, and let

$$
\beta(\mu):=1-n-\sqrt{1-4 \mu}=2-n-2 \alpha_{+}
$$

be the nonzero root of the equation

$$
\beta(\beta+n-1+\sqrt{1-4 \mu})=0
$$

Then

$$
v_{0}(x):=x_{1}^{\alpha_{+}}, \quad v_{1}(x):=x_{1}^{\alpha_{+}}|x|^{\beta(\mu)}
$$

are two positive solutions of the equation $P_{\mu} u=0$ in $\Omega$ that vanish on $\partial \Omega \backslash\{0\}$.
Therefore, $\lambda(\mu)=(\beta(\mu))^{2} / 4$, and for $\mu \leq \mu_{0}=1 / 4$ we have the following optimal Hardy inequality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \frac{\varphi^{2}}{x_{1}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \geq\left(\frac{n-1+\sqrt{1-4 \mu}}{2}\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \frac{\varphi^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)
$$

In particular, the operator $-\Delta-\frac{\mu}{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}}-\frac{\lambda(\mu)}{|x|^{2}}$ is critical in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ with the ground state $\psi(x):=x_{1}^{\alpha_{+}}|x|^{\beta(\mu) / 2}$. Note that for $\mu=0$ we obtain the well known (optimal) Hardy inequality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \frac{n^{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \frac{\varphi^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)
$$

while for $\mu=\mu_{0}=1 / 4$ we obtain the optimal double Hardy inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \frac{1}{x_{1}^{2}} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \geq \frac{(n-1)^{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \frac{\varphi^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that if $\Omega$ is any domain admitting a supporting hyperplane $H$ at zero, then $\delta_{\Omega} \leq \delta_{H}$ in $\Omega$. Hence, Example 5.1 implies that for any $\mu \leq 1 / 4$ we have

Corollary 5.1. Suppose that a domain $\Omega$ admits a supporting hyperplane at zero, then

$$
\lambda_{0}\left(P_{\mu},|x|^{-2}, \Omega\right) \leq \frac{(n-1+\sqrt{1-4 \mu})^{2}}{4}
$$

Assume further that $\Omega$ is a cone, then for any $\mu \leq \mu_{0}$ we have

$$
-\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4} \leq \sigma(\mu):=\lambda_{0}\left(-\Delta_{S}-\frac{\mu}{\delta_{\Omega}^{2}}, \mathbf{1}, \Sigma\right) \leq \frac{2 n-2-4 \mu+(2 n-2) \sqrt{1-4 \mu}}{4}
$$
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