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#### Abstract

We consider the Cauchy problem for a Schrödinger equation with an Hamiltonian depending also on the time variable and that may vanish at $t=0$. We find optimal Levi conditions for well-posedness in Sobolev and Gevrey spaces.

Sunto. Si considera il problema di Cauchy per una equazione di Schrödinger con hamiltoniana dipendente anche dal tempo e che puó annullarsi per $t=0$. Si trovano condizioni di Levi ottimali per la buona posizione in spazi di Sobolev e di Gevrey.
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## 1. Introduction and main result

Let us consider the Cauchy problem in $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_{x}^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S u=0, \quad u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the Schrödinger operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\frac{1}{i} \partial_{t}-a(t) \Delta_{x}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t, x) \partial_{x_{j}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a real continuous coefficient $a(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c t^{\ell} \leq a(t) \leq C t^{\ell} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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for $\ell \geq 0$ and positive constants $c, C$. The coefficients $b_{j}$ in the convection term are continuos with respect to the time variable $t$ and bounded together with all their derivatives with respect to the space variable $x$. Their behavior for $t \rightarrow 0$ and $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$ is assumed to be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Re b_{j}(t, x)\right| \leq C t^{k}\langle x\rangle^{-\sigma}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq \ell, \sigma>0, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\langle x\rangle=\sqrt{1+|x|^{2}}$.
We investigate the well-posedness in Sobolev spaces $H^{m}$ and in Gevrey spaces $H^{\infty, s}$, $s>1$,

$$
H^{\infty, s}:=\cap_{m} H^{m, s}, H^{m, s}:=\cup_{\varrho>0} H_{\varrho}^{m, s}, H_{\varrho}^{m, s}=e^{-\varrho\left\langle D_{x}\right\rangle^{1 / s}} H^{m} .
$$

Gevrey well-posedness can be considered provided that $b_{j} \in C\left([0, T] ; \gamma^{s}\right)$, where

$$
\gamma^{s}:=\cup_{A>0} \gamma_{A}^{s}, \gamma_{A}^{s}:=\left\{f(x):\left|\partial_{x}^{\beta} f(x)\right| \leq C A^{|\beta|} \beta!^{s}|\beta| \geq 0\right\} .
$$

In the widely studied case of time independent coefficients $a(t)=\tau, \tau \neq 0$ a real constant, and $b_{j}(t, x)=b_{j}(x)$, we have sharp results of well-posedness in

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L^{2} \text { if } \sigma>1  \tag{5}\\
H^{\infty} \text { if } \sigma=1 \\
H^{\infty, s} \text { with } s<\frac{1}{1-\sigma} \text { if } \sigma<1
\end{array}\right.
$$

see [11] and also [13], [7], [9], [3], [4], [10]. In particular we have the necessity of decay conditions for $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$.

Time-depending Hamiltonians occur in applications, for example in the study of quantum boxes. From our results in [1], the same well-posedness as in (5) holds true taking a non degenerating Hamiltonian for $t \rightarrow 0(k=\ell=0)$ and even for vanishing coefficients but with the same order $k=\ell$. In the case $k<\ell$ the well-posedness in the usual Sobolev space $H^{\infty}$ fails and the problem is well-posed only in Gevrey spaces. In fact, we have:

Theorem 1.1. Let us assume (3) and (4) with $0<k<\ell$. Then, the Cauchy problem
(1) for the operator (2) is well-posed in $H^{\infty, s}$ if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s<\frac{\ell+1}{\ell-k} \text { for } 1-\sigma \leq \frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1},  \tag{6}\\
s<\frac{1}{1-\sigma} \text { for } \frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}<1-\sigma .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The necessity of these conditions is proved in [2]. Here we show that they are also sufficient.

For a fast decay, given by $1-\sigma \leq(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$, the well-posedness is influenced only by the degeneracy but this gives an upper bound for the index $s$ which can not reach the limit value $s=\infty$ corresponding to the usual Sobolev space $H^{\infty}$. With $(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)<1-\sigma$ even the degeneracy is overshadowed by the too slow decay.

## 2. Strategy in the proof

We briefly outline the strategy of the proof that will be given in more details in the following sections. As in [11] and [1] we prove the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1) for the operator $S$ in (2) after performing a change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, x)=e^{\Lambda}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) u(t, x), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e^{\Lambda}\left(t, x, D_{x}\right), D=\frac{1}{i} \partial$, is an invertible pseudo-differential operator with symbol $e^{\Lambda(t, x, \xi)}$. With respect to the corresponding case $k<\ell, \sigma<1$ in [1], we get a smaller order for $\Lambda$. This leads to larger values for the index $s$ of Gevrey well-posedness.

Here the function $\Lambda(t, x, \xi)$ is real-valued and belongs to $C\left([0, T] ; S^{1 / s}\right), s<1 / q$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\max \left\{\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}, 1-\sigma\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S^{m}$ denotes the class of symbols of order $m$. We look for $\Lambda(t, x, \xi)$ in order to establish the energy estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\|v(0, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any solution of the transformed equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\Lambda} v=0, S_{\Lambda}:=e^{\Lambda} S\left(e^{\Lambda}\right)^{-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy estimate (9) follows by Gronwall's lemma if we find $\Lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i S_{\Lambda}=\partial_{t}-i a(t) \Delta_{x}-A\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A\left(t, x, D_{x}\right)$ is a pseudo-differential operator of order 1 which is bounded from above in $L^{2}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \Re\left(A\left(t, x, D_{x}\right) v, v\right) \leq C\|v\|_{L^{2}}^{2} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the sharp Gårding inequality, in order to get this property for $A$ we seek for a function $\Lambda$ in (7) that solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \Lambda(t, x, \xi)+2 a(t) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j} \partial_{x_{j}} \Lambda(t, x, \xi)+\Re \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t, x) \xi_{j} \leq 0 \text { for all }|\xi| \geq h, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that $\partial_{t} \Lambda(t, x, \xi)$ has the order 1 and $a(t) \partial_{x_{j}} \Lambda$ has the order zero.
As it is well-known, the estimate (9) gives the well-posedness in $L^{2}$ of the Cauchy problem for the operator $S_{\Lambda}$. Since

$$
e^{\Lambda(t)}: H^{m, s} \rightarrow H^{m}, s<1 / q,
$$

is continuous and invertible, then we have a unique solution $u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{\infty, s}\right)$ of (1) for any given initial data $u_{0} \in H^{\infty, s}, s<\min \{(\ell+1) /(\ell-k), 1 /(1-\sigma)\}$.

## 3. Degeneracy

In this section we construct the solution $\Lambda$ to the inequality (13) and we estimate it only in the case

$$
1-\sigma \leq \frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}
$$

that gives

$$
q=\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}
$$

in (8). Few changes appearing in the estimates of $\Lambda$ in the case $1-\sigma>(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$ are collected in next section.

For readers' convenience and in order to have a more self-contained paper, we repeat some parts of the construction which are conducted in a similar way as in [1]. The improvement in the case under consideration comes from a sharper analysis in the extended
phase-space $\left\{(t, x, \xi) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_{x, \xi}^{2 n}\right\}$. First, as in [1], we split it into two zones. Defining the separation line between both zones by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\xi}=\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{-\frac{1}{\ell+1}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\langle\xi\rangle_{h}=\sqrt{h^{2}+|\xi|^{2}}, h \geq 1,
$$

we introduce the

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pseudo-differential zone: } \quad Z_{p d}=\left\{(t, x, \xi) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_{x, \xi}^{2 n}: t \leq t_{\xi}\right\}, \\
& \text { evolution zone: } \quad Z_{e v}=\left\{(t, x, \xi) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_{x, \xi}^{2 n}: t \geq t_{\xi}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Localizing to the pseudo-differential zone a solution of (13) in $Z_{p d}$ is simply given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{p d}(h, t, \xi)=-M\langle\xi\rangle_{h} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{k} \chi\left(\tau / t_{\xi}\right) d \tau \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(y)$ is a cut-off function in $\gamma^{s}(\mathbb{R}), 0 \leq \chi(y) \leq 1, \chi(y)=1$ for $|y| \leq 1 / 2, \chi(y)=0$ for $|y| \geq 1, y \chi^{\prime}(y) \leq 0$, and $M \geq M_{0}$ is a large constant.

The symbol $\Lambda_{p d}(h, t, \xi)$ is of order $(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$ by the above definition (14) of $t_{\xi}$. Taking a sufficiently large $M$ it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \Lambda_{p d}(h, t, \xi)+\chi\left(t / t_{\xi}\right) \Re \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t, x) \xi_{j} \leq 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\Re b_{j}(t, x) \xi_{j}\right| \leq M_{0} t^{k}\langle x\rangle^{-\sigma}|\xi| \leq M_{0} t^{k}|\xi| .
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \Lambda_{p d}(h, t, \xi)\right| \leq C_{0} M A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}-|\alpha|}  \tag{17}\\
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{t} \Lambda_{p d}(h, t, \xi)\right| \leq C_{0} M A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\frac{k}{\ell+1}-|\alpha|} \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

with constants $C_{0}$ and $A$ which are independent of $h$. This large parameter $h$ will be used for many estimates and, in particular, it is used also to get the invertibility of the operator $e^{\Lambda}$ in the transformed equation (10).

Coming to the evolution zone, we split it into two sub-zones:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{e v}^{1}=\left\{(t, x, \xi) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_{x, \xi}^{2 n}: t \geq t_{\xi},\langle x\rangle \leq t^{\ell+1}\langle\xi\rangle\right\}, \\
& Z_{e v}^{2}=\left\{(t, x, \xi) \in[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_{x, \xi}^{2 n}: t \geq t_{\xi},\langle x\rangle \geq t^{\ell+1}\langle\xi\rangle\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A solution of (13) in $Z_{e v}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{e v}^{2}(h, t, \xi)=-K M\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\sigma} \int_{0}^{t} \tau^{k-(\ell+1) \sigma}\left(1-\chi\left(2 \tau / t_{\xi}\right)\right) d \tau . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking a sufficiently large $M$ (the constant $K>1$ will be fixed later independently of all other parameters) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \Lambda_{e v}^{2}(h, t, \xi)+\left(1-\chi\left(t / t_{\xi}\right)\right)\left(1-\chi\left(\langle x\rangle / t^{\ell+1}\langle\xi\rangle\right)\right) \Re \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t, x) \xi_{j} \leq 0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

in view of

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\Re b_{j}(t, x) \xi_{j}\right| \leq M_{0} t^{k}\langle x\rangle^{-\sigma}\langle\xi\rangle \leq M_{0} t^{k-(\ell+1) \sigma}\langle\xi\rangle^{1-\sigma},\langle x\rangle \geq t^{\ell+1}\langle\xi\rangle / 2
$$

From the definition (14) of $t_{\xi}$, the function $\Lambda_{e v}^{2}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \Lambda_{e v}^{2}(h, t, \xi)\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{0} M A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}-|\alpha|}, 1-\sigma<\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}, \\
C_{0} M A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{!}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}-|\alpha|} \log \langle\xi\rangle_{h}, 1-\sigma=\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1},
\end{array}\right.  \tag{21}\\
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{t} \Lambda_{e v}^{2}(h, t, \xi)\right| \leq C_{0} M A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\frac{k}{\ell+1}-|\alpha|} \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

since here we have $k+1-(\ell+1) \sigma \leq 0,1 / t \leq 4\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1 /(\ell+1)}$. The constants $C_{0}$ and $A$ are independent of $h$.

The support of the function $\Lambda_{e v}^{2}$ contains the whole evolution zone $Z_{e v}$ and not only $Z_{e v}^{2}$ because it will be also used to control the derivative $\partial_{t} \Lambda_{e v}^{1}$ of the term $\Lambda_{e v}^{1}$, localized to $Z_{e v}^{1}$, of the solution $\Lambda_{e v}=\Lambda_{e v}^{1}+\Lambda_{e v}^{2}$ of (13) in $Z_{e v}$. At this point we will fix the constant $K$ in (19).
In order to construct such a function $\Lambda_{e v}^{1}$ we consider the solution $\lambda(t, x, \xi)$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j} \partial_{x_{j}} \lambda(t, x, \xi)+|\xi| g(t, x, \xi)=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is given for $\xi \neq 0$ by

$$
\lambda(t, x, \xi)=-\int_{0}^{x \cdot \omega} g(t, x-\tau \omega, \xi) d \tau \text { with } \omega=\xi /|\xi|
$$

We take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0,1}(t, x, \xi)=-\int_{0}^{x \cdot \omega} g_{1}(t, x-\tau \omega, \xi) d \tau \text { with } g_{1}(t, x, \xi)=M\langle x\rangle^{-\sigma} \chi\left(\langle x\rangle / t^{\ell+1}\langle\xi\rangle\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(25) $\lambda_{0,2}(t, x, \xi)=-\int_{0}^{x \cdot \omega} g_{2}(t, x-\tau \omega, \xi) d \tau$ with $g_{2}(t, x, \xi)=M\langle x \cdot \omega\rangle^{-\sigma} \chi\left(\langle x\rangle / t^{\ell+1}\langle\xi\rangle\right)$. Then we define
(26) $\quad \lambda_{0}(h, t, x, \xi)=$

$$
\left(\chi(2 x \cdot \omega /\langle x\rangle) \lambda_{0,1}(t, x, \xi)+(1-\chi(2 x \cdot \omega /\langle x\rangle)) \lambda_{0,2}(t, x, \xi)\right)(1-\chi(|\xi| / h))
$$

since we need to solve (13) only for large $|\xi| \geq h$.
The function $\lambda_{0}$ solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j} \partial_{x_{j}} \lambda_{0}(h, t, x, \xi)+M|\xi|\langle x\rangle^{-\sigma} \chi\left(\langle x\rangle / t^{\ell+1}\langle\xi\rangle\right) \leq 0,|\xi| \geq h \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for multi-indices $\alpha, \beta, \beta \neq 0$, it satisfies the estimates

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \lambda_{0}(h, t, x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{0} M A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s} t^{(\ell+1)(1-\sigma)}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\sigma-|\alpha|}  \tag{28}\\
\left|\partial_{x}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \lambda_{0}(h, t, x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{0} M A^{|\alpha+\beta|}(\alpha+\beta)!^{s}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{-|\alpha|} \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the constant $C_{0}$ and $A$ are independent of large $h$.
Taking into consideration the function $\Lambda_{e v}^{2}$ that was already introduced in (19) and the above defined $\lambda_{0}$ we complete the solution $\Lambda_{e v}$ of (13) in the evolution zone after taking

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Lambda_{e v}(h, t, x, \xi)=\Lambda_{e v}^{1}(h, t, x, \xi)+\Lambda_{e v}^{2}(h, t, \xi),  \tag{30}\\
\Lambda_{e v}^{1}(h, t, x, \xi)=\left(1-\chi\left(t / t_{\xi}\right)\right) t^{k-\ell} \lambda_{0}(h, t, x, \xi)
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (27), (3) and (4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a(t) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j} \partial_{x_{j}} \Lambda_{e v}^{1}(h, t, x, \xi)+\left(1-\chi\left(t / t_{\xi}\right)\right) \chi\left(\langle x\rangle / t^{\ell+1}\langle\xi\rangle\right) \Re \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t, x) \xi_{j} \leq 0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\xi| \geq h$ after taking a sufficiently large $M \geq M_{0}$. Then, from

$$
\left|\partial_{t} \Lambda_{e v}^{1}(h, t, x, \xi)\right| \leq K_{0} M t^{k-\sigma(\ell+1)}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\sigma}
$$

and $1-\chi\left(t / 2 t_{\xi}\right)=1$ on the support of $\partial_{t} \Lambda_{e v}^{1}$, we still have a solution to the inequality (20) by taking the sum $\Lambda_{e v}=\Lambda_{e v}^{1}+\Lambda_{e v}^{2}$ in place of the single term $\Lambda_{e v}^{2}$ after having fixed $K \geq K_{0}+1$ in the definition (19). This, together with (31) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \Lambda_{e v}(h, t, x, \xi)+2 a(t) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j} \partial_{x_{j}} \Lambda_{e v}(h, t, x, \xi)+\left(1-\chi\left(t / t_{\xi}\right)\right) \Re \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t, x) \xi_{j} \leq 0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\xi| \geq h$ since $\Lambda_{e v}^{2}$ does not depend on $x$.
Using (16) and (32) we have solutions $\Lambda$ to (13) which are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)=\varrho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{1}{s}}+\Lambda_{p d}(h, t, \xi)+\Lambda_{e v}(h, t, x, \xi) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varrho^{\prime}(t)<0$ and $1 / s>q$ with $q$ from ( 8 ), here $1 / s>(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$. The weight function $\varrho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1 / s}$ will be used to absorb the terms of order $q$ in the asymptotic expansion of the transformed operator $S_{\Lambda}$ in (10).

We summarize all the properties of $\Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)$ that we need in the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. Let us assume (3) and (4) with $1-\sigma \leq(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$, and let us consider the symbol $\Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)$ which is defined by (33) with $1 / s>(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$. Let $N>0, \varrho_{0}>0, \delta \in[0,1 / s-(\ell-k) /(\ell+1))$ be given constants.
Then we can choose the parameters $M \geq M_{0}, h \geq h_{0}, M_{0}$ is independent of all other parameters, $h_{0}=h_{0}\left(\delta, \varrho_{0}, N\right)$, and the function $\varrho(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \Lambda+2 a(t) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j} \partial_{x_{j}} \Lambda+\Re \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t, x) \xi_{j} \leq &  \tag{34}\\
& C_{h}-N\left(\varrho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1 / s}+\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\ell \ell-k) /(\ell+1)+\delta}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\varrho(t) \leq \varrho_{0}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $\Lambda$ satisfies for all multi-indices $\alpha$ the estimates
(36) $\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)\right| \leq$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{0} A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s}\left(\varrho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{1}{s}}+\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}}\right)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{-|\alpha|}, 1-\sigma<\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}, \\
C_{0} A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s}\left(\varrho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{1}{s}}+\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}} \log \langle\xi\rangle_{h}\right)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{-|\alpha|}, 1-\sigma=\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1},
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{t} \Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{0} A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s}\left(\varrho^{\prime}(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{1}{s}}+\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{\ell-k+1}{\ell+1}}\right)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{-|\alpha|}, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all multi-indices $\alpha, \beta$ with $|\beta|>0, j=0,1$, the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{x}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{t}^{j} \Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{0} M A^{|\alpha+\beta|}(\alpha+\beta)!^{!}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{\ell-k+j}{\ell+1}-|\alpha|} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a(t) \partial_{x}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{0} M A^{|\alpha+\beta|}(\alpha+\beta)!^{s}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{-|\alpha|} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants $C_{0}$ and $A$ are independent of the parameters $h \geq h_{0}$ and $M \geq M_{0}$. In particular, $\Lambda$ has the order $1 / s, \Lambda-\varrho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1 / s}$ the order $(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$ (with an extra factor $\log \langle\xi\rangle_{h}$ for $\left.1-\sigma=(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)\right)$, $\partial_{t} \Lambda$ has the order at most 1 , $a(t) \partial_{x_{j}} \Lambda$, $j=1, \ldots, n$, the order 0 .

Proof. The function $\Lambda_{p d}(h, t, \xi)+\Lambda_{e v}(h, t, x, \xi)$ is a solution to (13) for $|\xi| \geq h$. Therefore we have (34) after taking in (33) the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho^{\prime}(t)+N \varrho(t)+N h^{\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}+\delta-\frac{1}{s}}=0, \quad \varrho(0)=\varrho_{0}, \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the weight function $\varrho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}$. Since $(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)+\delta-1 / s<0$ we can make $N h^{(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)+\delta-1 / s}$ so small for $h \geq h_{0}$ such that (35) is satisfied.

The estimates (36) and (37) for the term $\Lambda_{p d}+\Lambda_{e v}$ in (33) follow from (17), (18), (21) and (22). For $\partial_{t}^{j} \Lambda_{e v}^{1}, j \in\{0,1\}$, we use (28) and

$$
t^{k-\ell+(\ell+1)(1-\sigma)-j}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\sigma} \leq C_{0}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{\ell-k+j}{\ell+1}} \text { on the support of } 1-\chi\left(t / t_{\xi}\right),
$$

by the definition (14) of $t_{\xi}$ and the present assumption $1-\sigma \leq(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$. In the same way, the estimates (38) for $\partial_{x}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{t}^{j} \Lambda=\partial_{x}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{t}^{j} \Lambda_{e v}^{1}$ follow from (29) and the definition of $t_{\xi}$ ( $\Lambda_{e v}^{1}$ is the only term depending on $x$ in (33)).

Finally, from (3) and the definitions (30), (33) we have

$$
\left|a(t) \partial_{x}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)\right| \leq C t^{k}\left|\partial_{x}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \lambda_{0}(h, t, x, \xi)\right|,
$$

hence (39) is a direct consequence of (29).

## 4. Slow decay

In this section we estimate the solution $\Lambda$ to (13) which is given by (33) in the case

$$
1-\sigma>\frac{\ell-k}{\ell+1}
$$

that is,

$$
q=1-\sigma
$$

in (8). Some estimates are modified because now we are not always dealing with singular powers of $t$. We only need the splitting into pseudo-differential and evolution zones to control $\partial_{x}^{\beta} \Lambda$.

For $\Lambda_{p d}$ from (15) the inequalities (17) and (18) remain unchanged. We just observe that the order $(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$ of $\Lambda_{p d}$ is now smaller than $1-\sigma$.

The estimates (21) for $\Lambda_{e v}^{2}$ from (19) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \Lambda_{e v}^{2}(h, t, \xi)\right| \leq C_{0} M A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\sigma-|\alpha|} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

since here we have $k+1-\sigma(\ell+1)>0$ and we can bound $t^{k+1-\sigma(\ell+1)}$ by a constant for $t \in\left[t_{\xi}, T\right]$. No additional effect comes from the localization in the evolution zone. In the same way, still without localizing, the inequality

$$
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{t} \Lambda_{e v}^{2}(h, t, \xi)\right| \leq C_{0} M A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s} t^{k-\sigma(\ell+1)}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\sigma-|\alpha|}
$$

with the $L^{1}$ factor $t^{k-\sigma(\ell+1)}$ would be sufficient in dealing with energy estimates. Using the definition of $t_{\xi}$ in the case $k-\sigma(\ell+1)<0$ we can have bounded semi-norms of the symbol $\partial_{t} \Lambda_{e v}^{2}$ in all cases and (22) becomes

$$
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{t} \Lambda_{e v}^{2}(h, t, \xi)\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{0} M A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{!}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\frac{k}{\ell+1}-|\alpha|},-1<k-\sigma(\ell+1)<0  \tag{42}\\
C_{0} M A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s}\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\sigma-|\alpha|}, k-\sigma(\ell+1) \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Also for $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \Lambda_{e v}^{1}, \Lambda_{e v}^{1}$ is defined in (30), we have not any effect from $t>t_{\xi} / 2$ on its support. The inequality (28) and $k+1-\sigma(\ell+1)>0$ lead to the same estimates (41) for $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \Lambda_{e v}^{1}$ as for $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \Lambda_{e v}^{2}$. Here the order of $\Lambda_{e v}^{1}$ is $1-\sigma$.
In a similar way, (42) holds true for $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{t} \Lambda_{e v}^{1}$.
We need the localization in the evolution zone for $\partial_{x}^{\beta} \partial_{t}^{j} \Lambda=\partial_{x}^{\beta} \partial_{t}^{j} \Lambda_{e v}^{1},|\beta|>0, j \in\{0,1\}$, since in (29) we have not any power of $t$ to compensate the singular factor $t^{k-\ell}$ in the definition of $\Lambda_{e v}^{1}$. The estimate (38) remains unchanged, we just observe that for $j=0$ the order $(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$ of $\partial_{x}^{\beta} \Lambda_{e v}^{1}$ is now smaller than $1-\sigma$.

Finally, we have the same inequality (39) for $a(t) \partial_{x}^{\beta} \Lambda$.
Summing up, for $1-\sigma>(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$ we have the following properties of $\Lambda$, similar to those ones collected in Proposition 3.1 for $1-\sigma \leq(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$.

Proposition 4.1. Let us assume (3) and (4) with $1-\sigma>(\ell-k) /(\ell+1)$, and let us consider the symbol $\Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)$ which is defined by (33) with $1 / s>1-\sigma$. Let $N>0$, $\varrho_{0}>0, \delta \in[0,1 / s-1+\sigma)$ be any given constants. Then we can choose the parameters $M \geq M_{0}, h \geq h_{0}, M_{0}$ is independent of all other parameters, $h_{0}=h_{0}\left(\delta, \varrho_{0}, N\right)$, and the function $\varrho(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \Lambda+2 a(t) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j} \partial_{x_{j}} \Lambda+\Re \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t, x) \xi_{j} \leq C_{h}-N\left(\varrho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{1}{s}}+\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\sigma+\delta}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varrho(t)$ satisfying (35).
Furthermore, $\Lambda$ satisfies for all multi-indices $\alpha$ the estimates

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{0} A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s}\left(\varrho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{1}{s}}+\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\sigma}\right)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{-|\alpha|},  \tag{44}\\
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{t} \Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{0} A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{s}\left(\varrho^{\prime}(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{1}{s}}+\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1-\sigma^{\prime}}\right)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{-|\alpha|}, \tag{45}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\sigma^{\prime} \geq 0$ is given by

$$
\sigma^{\prime}=\min \left\{\sigma, \frac{k}{\ell+1}\right\}
$$

and for all multi-indices $\alpha, \beta$ with $|\beta|>0, j=0,1$, the estimates (38), (39).
The constants $C_{0}$ and $A$ are independent of the parameters $h \geq h_{0}$ and $M \geq M_{0}$. In particular, $\Lambda$ has the order $1 / s, \Lambda-\varrho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{1 / s}$ the order $1-\sigma, \partial_{t} \Lambda$ the order at most 1 , $a(t) \partial_{x_{j}} \Lambda, j=1, \ldots, n$, the order 0 .

## 5. Verification

We can now conclude the proof of the results of Theorem 1.1 in the sufficient direction using the calculus for pseudo-differential operators of infinite order in [12]. We refer to [1] for the fully detailed computation.

For $h \geq h_{0}$ the operator $e^{\Lambda}$ with symbol $e^{\Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)}, \Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)$ is defined by (33), is continuous and invertible from the space $H_{\varrho}^{m, s}$ to $H^{m}$ for $\varrho<\varrho_{0}$ and we have the asymptotic expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\Lambda}\left(h, t, x, D_{x}\right)(i S)\left(e^{\Lambda}\left(h, t, x, D_{x}\right)\right)^{-1}=\partial_{t}-i a(t) \Delta_{x}-A\left(h, t, x, D_{x}\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
(47) $A(h, t, x, \xi)=$

$$
\partial_{t} \Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)+2 a(t) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j} \partial_{x_{j}} \Lambda(h, t, x, \xi)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(t, x) \xi_{j}+R(h, t, x, \xi),
$$

where $R(h, t, x, \xi)$ denotes a symbol that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \partial_{x}^{\beta} R(h, t, x, \xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha \beta}\left(\varrho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{\frac{1}{s}}+\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{q+\delta}\right)\langle\xi\rangle_{h}^{-|\alpha|} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constants $C_{\alpha \beta}$ which are independent of $h \geq h_{0}$ and a suitable $\delta \in[0,1 / s-q)$. Here $q$ is defined by ( 8 ), $\delta=\delta(s, \ell, k, \sigma)$.

Now we can fix the large parameter $N$ and then $h=h_{0}$ in (34) and (43) to conclude the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \Re A\left(h_{0}, t, x, \xi\right) \leq C \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives immediately by sharp Gårding inequality the desired estimate to above in $L^{2}$

$$
2 \Re\left(A\left(h_{0}, t, x, D_{x}\right) v, v\right) \leq C\|v\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, v \in L^{2},
$$

since $A\left(h_{0}, t, x, \xi\right)$ is a symbol of order 1 .
The well-posedness in $L^{2}$ of the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\Lambda_{\ell-k}} i S\left(e^{\Lambda_{\ell-k}}\right)^{-1} v=0, \quad v(0, x)=v_{0}(x) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows after application of the energy method. This gives the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1) in $H^{\infty, s}$ for $s<1 / q, q$ defined by (8). This completes the proof of the sufficiency of the conditions given in Theorem 1.1.
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